1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CABN 118321) United States Attorney	
3	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CABN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NORTHERN DIS	ES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14 15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 06-0479 JCS
16 17	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION TO AMEND) THE RESTITUTION PAYEE) INCLUDED IN THE) SENTENCING AND JUDGMENT
18	HOWARD MICHAEL MACK,))
19	Defendant.))
20		ý)
21	The parties in this matter appeared before the Court on December 1, 2006, for Judgment and	
22	Sentencing in the above captioned matter. At that hearing the Court imposed a sentence on	
23	defendant that included, among other conditions, a restitution payment of \$9,385.00, that was to	
24	be paid in \$50 monthly installments to the United States Social Security Administration (SSA).	
25	The government represented that payments were required to be made to the SSA because under	
26	the charging document (18 U.S.C. § 641 Theft of Government Property) the SSA was the victim	
27	of the fraud. The parties agreed with this representation, and the Court included the SSA as the	
28	payee of the restitution.	
	Stipulation CR 06-0479 JCS	

Subsequent to the sentencing hearing, it became apparent to the government that it was error 1 to represent to the Court that the payments must be made to the SSA. In fact, under 18 U.S.C. § 2 3 3663A, the defendant shall "make restitution to the victim of the offense or, if the victim is 4 deceased, to the victim's estate." See 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(a)(1). The victim in this case includes 5 both the government, as well as the deceased Mr. Naatz (§ 3663A(a)(2) instructs that "victim" 6 means a person directly and proximately harmed as a result of the offense or the defendant's 7 criminal conduct). Here, Mr. Naatz was harmed by the defendant's criminal conduct of stealing from the United States government. Under § 3663A(a)(3), the Court shall order, if agreed by the 8 9 parties in the plea agreement, restitution to persons other than the victim of the offense. 10 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE that the United States Social Security 11 Administration should not be the payee for the restitution payments in the above captioned 12 matter. 13 14 15 THE PARTIES FURTHER STIPULATE, and request the Court to include in the Judgment, that the designated payee of the restitution payments in the amount of \$9,385.00, will be: The 16 17 Estate of Kenneth Naatz, c/o Roberta M. Hayhurst, 7170 E. Princeton Ave. #1, Denver, CO 80237. 18 19 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 20 21 KEVIN V. RYAN **United States Attorney** 22 12/1/2006 /s/ Derek Owens 23 DATED: DEREK R. OWENS 24 Special Assistant United States Attorney 25 26 /s/ Geoff Hansen 12/1/2006 DATED: 27 **GEOFF HANSEN** Federal Public Defender 28 Dated: 12/4/6 IS SO ORDERED Stipulation Judge Joseph C. Spero CR 06-0479 JCS

DISTRICT